

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO:	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,290	06/25/2003	Achilles G. Kogiantis	Kogiantis 14-4-7-5	9899
46368 7590 01/29/2007 CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 W MAPLE RD SUITE 350 BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			EXAMINER DAO, MINH D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PE	RIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y. MODE
3 MONTH	· ·	01/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/603,290	KOGIANTIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MINH D. DAO	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ja	anuary 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-13 and 15-17</u> is/are pending in	the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-13,15-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r election requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the I	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ad				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the defined copies not reserve					
Attachment(s)	0 □ t-t- t- 0 0	(DTO 442)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 01/03/07 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Kim (US 7,079,514) and Seo (US 2003/0123396).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim (US 7,079,514) in view of Seo et al. (US 2003/0123396).

Regarding claim 1, Kim teaches a method of transmitting information in a communication system having at least one multiple antenna system, the method comprising the step of:

transmitting over N defined time periods long term information comprising a correlation value between at least two antennas that is a function of a signal vector received on the at least two antennas arranged in a particular format and obtained from at least a portion of at least one of measured received information (see abstract; figs. 1-4; col. 3, line 60 to col. 5, line 6; col. 17, line 9 to col. 18, line 43). However, Kim does not mention transmitting over N defined time periods) where N is an integer equal to 1 or greater. Seo, in an analogous art, teaches transmission time intervals during which channel quality of received signals are measured (see section [0043,0044]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the teaching of Kim to Seo in order for the combined system to repeat the signal quality measurement for the purpose of obtaining an accuracy for the signal measurement.

Regarding claim 2, the combination of Kim and Seo teaches the method of claim 1 where the step of transmitting long term information comprises the steps of: receiving information over one or more communication channels of the communication system (see Seo, sections [0040,0068]); at least one of measuring or calculating channel parameters from the received information (see Seo, sections [0040,0068]); obtaining long term information from the at least one of measured or calculated channel parameters (see Seo, sections [0040,0068]); arranging the obtained long term information (see Seo, sections [0069]); and transmitting the arranged long term information (see Seo, sections [0040,0068]).

the feed back channel of the present invention).

Regarding claim 3, the combination of Kim and Seo teaches the method of claim 1 where the long term information is transmitted over a feed back channel of the communication system (see Seo, section [0024]. The uplink channel of Seo reads on

Regarding claim 4, the combination of Kim and Seo teaches the method of claim 1 further comprising the step of transmitting short term information obtained from the measured and/or calculated received information (see Seo, section [0090]).

Regarding claim 5, the combination of Kim and Seo teaches the method of claim 1 where the long term information is transmitted by a base station of a wireless communication system (see Seo, section [0037]).

Regarding claim 6, the combination of Kim and Seo teaches the method of claim 1 where the long term information is transmitted by a mobile that is part of a wireless communication system (see Seo, sections [0024,0040,0068]).

1. Claims 7,9-13,15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim (US 7,079,514) in view of Seo et al. (US 2003/0123396) and further in view of Walton et al. (US 2006/0039312).

Regarding claim 7, the combination of Kim and Seo, as mentioned above, teaches the limitations of claim 1 but does not disclose that the communication system contains at least one MIMO antenna system. Walton, in an analogous art, teaches a communication facility equipped with MIMO system (see fig. 8A). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the MIMO antenna system of Walton to Kim and Seo in order to for the combined system to channel estimation and to obtain time and frequency synchronizations.

Regarding claim 9, the combination of Kim, Seo and Walton teaches a method of transmitting information in a communication system having at least one multiple antenna system, the method comprising: transmitting over N defined time periods long term information arranged in a particular format and obtained from at least a portion of at least one of measured or calculated received information, where N is an integer equal to 1 or greater (see Kim, see abstract; figs. 1-4; col. 3, line 60 to col. 5, line 6; col. 17, line 9 to col. 18, line 43; Seo, fig. 4; sections [0043,0044]); and transmitting short term information where the long term information is used to inform receiver which of a finite set of codes to use to decode the transmitted short term information. (see Walton, fig. 2; section [0258-0275]).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 10, the combination of kim, Seo and Walton teaches the method of

claim 1 where the long term information comprises at least a portion of a channel

parameter value (see Seo, section [0068]).

Regarding claim 11, the combination of kim, Seo and Walton teaches the method of

claim 10 where the long term information is a 2-bit code representing either a beam

formed signal having a particular data rate or a MIMO signal having a particular data

rate and such long term information is transmitted over a feed back channel of an EVDV

communication system (see Walton, section [0266]).

Regarding claim 12, the combination of kim, Seo and Walton teaches the method of

claim 10 where the long term portion is a 3 bit code representing an SNR threshold

value (see Walton, section [0266]).

Regarding claim 13, the combination of kim, Seo and Walton teaches the method of

claim 10 where the long term portion comprises 3 bits representing C/I decade values

that are within a certain range (see Seo, sections [0037,0088]).

Regarding claim 15, the claim includes the limitations as that of claim 9, and therefore is

interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 9.

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 16, the combination of kim, Seo and Walton teaches the method of claim 15 further comprising the step of modifying information to be transmitted based on the received long term and related short term information (see see Kim, see abstract; figs. 1-4; col. 3, line 60 to col. 5, line 6; col. 17, line 9 to col. 18, line 43; Seo, section [0037]).

Regarding claim 17, the combination of Seo and Walton teaches the method of claim 15 where a mobile receives the long term information and related short term information (see Kim, see abstract; figs. 1-4; col. 3, line 60 to col. 5, line 6; col. 17, line 9 to col. 18, line 43; Seo, section [0037]).

Conclusion

2. Applicant's amendment filed 07/19/06 necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/603,290 Page 8

Art Unit: 2618

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINH D. DAO whose telephone number is 571-272-7851. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MATTHEW ANDERSON can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Minh Dao wo AU 2618 January 23, 2007

Matthew Anderson Supervisor AU2618